

31.2

COD Phase-Equilibrium Standard Model

A Time-Independent Theory of Structural Selection and Mass Generation Based on Complex Quaternionic Spherical Oscillators

Authors: Jason Kuhyun Ryoo

Affiliations: Private research group: Innolab Science Institute

Dongjak_gu, Seoul, Korea

* Corresponding author Jason Kuhyun Ryoo Email: jasonryoo@naver.com

Abstract

Modern particle physics successfully describes elementary particle interactions through quantum field theory and gauge symmetries. However, the origin of stable particle structures and the mechanism of mass generation remain conceptually separated.

This work presents the COD (Complex Oscillator Dynamics) Phase-Equilibrium Standard Model, a time-independent framework unifying structural selection and mass generation through a common phase-equilibrium principle.

The fundamental unit of existence is proposed to be the Complex Quaternionic Spherical Oscillator (CQSO). These oscillators are intrinsically complex-wave structures exhibiting superposition, resonance, diffraction, interference, entanglement, and tunneling. Through recursive phase interactions, they self-organize into oscillator networks and manifolds that collectively constitute space and global phase organization.

The governing condition of the framework is

$$\Delta(\Delta\Phi) \rightarrow 0$$

which represents recursive phase-equilibrium minimization.

Within this framework, photons, electrons, protons, and neutrons are interpreted as recursive phase-equilibrium eigenstructures emerging from self-organized oscillator geometries. Existence and mass are thereby unified within a common phase-equilibrium ontology.

1. Introduction

One of the deepest questions in modern physics concerns the relationship between existence and creation.

Contemporary theories describe interactions among already-existing particles with extraordinary precision. Yet they do not directly explain why stable structures exist in the first place.

The COD Phase-Equilibrium Standard Model approaches this problem from a different starting point.

The theory does not begin with particles.

Instead, it begins with existence itself.

The fundamental ontological unit is the Complex Quaternionic Spherical Oscillator (CQSO),
a self-coherent recursive oscillatory structure embedded within a global phase field.

The central proposition of the theory is

Existence = Recursive Phase-Equilibrium Selection

Particles are therefore not primary entities but stable manifestations of recursively selected
phase-equilibrium geometries.

2. Ontological Foundation

The basic complex phase structure is

$$S = P + iQ$$

where

P = Condensation Component

Q = Support Component

The fundamental phase-equilibrium condition is

$$P = Q$$

corresponding to

$$\theta_{eq} = \pi/4$$

$$\sin(\theta_{eq}) = 1/\sqrt{2}$$

The quantities P and Q represent the two primitive degrees of freedom of existence.

The recursive minimization of phase difference is governed by

$$\Delta(\Delta\Phi) \rightarrow 0$$

This relation serves as the universal solution underlying structure selection, energy
distribution, mass generation, and particle formation.

3. Complex Quaternionic Spherical Oscillator

The CQSO constitutes the minimal self-coherent unit of existence.

A CQSO possesses:

- recursive oscillation
- phase self-coherence
- spherical closure
- quaternionic organization
- energy conservation through phase equilibrium

The oscillator develops through the intrinsic properties of complex-wave media, including:

- superposition
- resonance
- diffraction
- interference
- entanglement
- tunneling

These properties enable robust yet flexible geometric organization across multiple scales.

A complete individual CQSO forms part of a complete whole.

The Global Bosonic Field is interpreted as the collective ensemble of CQSO existence:

$$M_T = \Sigma \text{ CQSO}$$

Thus the Global Bosonic Field is not an external background but the totality of recursive CQSO organization.

4. Phase-Equilibrium Eigenvalue Hierarchy

77 The COD framework introduces a hierarchy of eigenvalues governing existence and structure
78 formation.

79 Fundamental existence degrees of freedom:

80 $\lambda_P = 1$

81 $\lambda_Q = 1$

82 Universal equilibrium:

83 $\theta_{eq} = \pi/4$

84 $\lambda_{EQ} = 1/\sqrt{2}$

85 Internal three-axis equilibrium:

86 $\gamma_e = \sqrt{3}/2$

87 Self-reference channel:

88 $\sigma_{self} = 1$

89 Available phase freedom:

90 $\beta_e = (48 - \sigma_{self})/48 = 47/48$

91 Distributed phase-equilibrium strength:

92 $\Omega_{SD} = \gamma_e \cdot \beta_e$

93 These eigenvalues determine the admissible geometry of recursive phase-equilibrium
94 structures.

95

96 **5. Structural Selection**

97 Structural selection describes how existence determines which geometries are allowed.

98 The minimum recursive structural scale is

99 $\Lambda = (3 \times 4) - 1 = 11$

100 The distributed self-closure coefficient is

101 $B = 1 + \Omega_{SD}/8$

102 The neutral completion coefficient is

103 $\varepsilon = (81/32)/\Lambda^3$

104 These quantities are interpreted as geometric closure conditions rather than empirical fitting
105 parameters.

106 Structural selection determines the existence of admissible particle geometries before mass
107 realization occurs.

108

109 **6. Particle Structure Hierarchy**

110 Particles are classified according to their phase-equilibrium closure order.

111 Photon:

112 $N = 2$

113 Phase-support structure without condensation.

114 Electron:

115 $M_e = 1$

116 Minimum self-coherent condensation structure satisfying

117 $P = Q$

118 $\theta_{eq} = \pi/4$

119 Proton:

120 $G_P = 3 + 3\Lambda^2 + B\Lambda^3$

121 Recursive triplet condensation structure.

122 Neutron:

123 $G_N = 3 + 3\Lambda^2 + B\Lambda^3 + \varepsilon\Lambda^3$

124 Neutral recursive completion structure.
125 The particle hierarchy becomes
126 Photon
127 ↓
128 Electron
129 ↓
130 Proton
131 ↓
132 Neutron
133 corresponding to increasing levels of recursive phase closure.

134
135 **Figure 1.** Comparison between the Standard Model and the COD Phase-Equilibrium Framework.
136 In COD, mass and stable existence emerge from recursive phase equilibrium geometry between
137 bosonic phase support elasticity (Q) and local condensation (P), rather than from predefined particle
138 parameters.
139



7. Mass Generation

The phase field is represented by

$$\Psi(r) = A(r)e^{i\Phi(r)}$$

with phase gradient

$$k = |\nabla\Phi|$$

The COD hierarchy is

$k^1 \rightarrow$ Phase Alignment

$k^2 \rightarrow$ Energy Support

$k^3 \rightarrow$ Mass Condensation

Therefore

$$E \propto |\nabla\Phi|^2$$

153 $M \propto \int |\nabla\Phi|^3 dV$

154 Mass is interpreted as localized self-coherent phase condensation within the Global Bosonic
 155 Field.

156 Structural selection answers:
 157 "What can exist?"

158 Mass generation answers:
 159 "How does selected existence become physically realized?"

160

161 **8. Summary of Results**

Particle	Structural Interpretation	Geometric Structure
Photon	Phase-support mode	$N = 2$
Electron	Minimum self-coherent condensation	$M_e = 1$
Proton	Recursive triplet condensation	$M_e (3 + 3\Lambda^2 + B\Lambda^3)$
Neutron	Neutral recursive completion	$M_e (3 + 3\Lambda^2 + B\Lambda^3 + \epsilon\Lambda^3)$

162 **Compared to experimental values:**

163

164 Structure eigenvalue residual: +0.105%

165 Mass Generation residual: $\approx -0.042\%$

166 Detailed derivations are provided in the Supplementary Notes (I-p9, II-p20)

167 **9. Discussion**

168 The COD framework unifies ontology and particle formation.

169 Existence is not assumed.

170 Existence is selected.

171 Mass is not inserted as a parameter.

172 Mass is realized through recursive phase condensation.

173 The theory therefore establishes the sequence

174 Existence

175 ↓

176 Structural Selection

177 ↓

178 Mass Generation

179 ↓

180 Particle Formation

181 ↓

182 Matter

183 ↓

184 Universe

185 Within this framework, structure selection and mass generation become complementary
186 descriptions of a single phase-equilibrium geometry.

187

188 **10. Conclusion**

189 A time-independent COD Phase-Equilibrium Standard Model has been presented based on
190 Complex Quaternionic Spherical Oscillators.

191 The theory identifies recursive phase equilibrium as the fundamental principle governing
192 existence, structure selection, mass generation, and particle formation.

193 The universal governing relation is

194 $\Delta(\Delta\Phi) \rightarrow 0$

195 The principal conclusions are:

196 Existence = Recursive Phase-Equilibrium Selection

197 Mass = Realized Phase Condensation

Global Bosonic Field = Collective CQSO Existence

A complete individual forms a complete whole.

The COD framework therefore provides a unified ontological and structural interpretation of matter, particles, and cosmological organization based on recursive phase-equilibrium geometry.

References

Geometry, Physics, and Phase Structure

1. Einstein, A. (1916).
The Foundation of the General Theory of Relativity.
Annalen der Physik, 49, 769–822.
2. Weyl, H. (1918).
Gravitation and Electricity.
Sitzungsberichte der Königlich Preußischen Akademie der Wissenschaften.
3. Yang, C. N., & Mills, R. L. (1954).
Conservation of Isotopic Spin and Isotopic Gauge Invariance.
Physical Review, 96(1), 191–195.
4. Dirac, P. A. M. (1931).
Quantised Singularities in the Electromagnetic Field.
Proceedings of the Royal Society A, 133, 60–72.

Quaternionic and Geometric Foundations

5. Hamilton, W. R. (1844).
On Quaternions; or on a New System of Imaginaries in Algebra.
Philosophical Magazine, 25, 489–495.
6. Penrose, R. (2004).
The Road to Reality: A Complete Guide to the Laws of the Universe.
Jonathan Cape.

Self-Organization and Complex Systems

7. Haken, H. (1983).
Synergetics: An Introduction.
Springer.
8. Kuramoto, Y. (1984).
Chemical Oscillations, Waves, and Turbulence.
Springer.

Information, Systems, and Engineering

11. Wiener, N. (1948).
Cybernetics: Or Control and Communication in the Animal and the Machine.
MIT Press.
12. Bertalanffy, L. von (1968).
General System Theory.
George Braziller.
13. Shannon, C. E. (1948).
A Mathematical Theory of Communication.
Bell System Technical Journal, 27, 379–423.

Mathematical Physics and Mass Gap

14. Jaffe, A., & Witten, E. (2000).
Quantum Yang–Mills Theory.
Clay Mathematics Institute Millennium Prize Problems.
15. Nash, C., & Sen, S. (1983).
Topology and Geometry for Physicists.
Academic Press.

264

265 **COD Framework**

- 266 16. Ryoo, J. K. (2026).
267 *Geometric Mass Condensation in Bosonic Field: A Phase-Equilibrium Interpretation*
268 *of the Yang–Mills Mass Gap.*
269 InnoLab Science Institute
270 17. Ryoo, J. K. (2024.11).
271 *A world told by ontology: Extension of classical mechanics*
272 InnoLab Science Institute
273 18. Ryoo, J. K. (2022.10).
274 *Meta Human Science 1.0: Scientific Methodology based on a priori perception for*
275 *AGI*
276 InnoLab Science Institute

277